

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/729,767 | Applicant(s) HIMMER ET AL. |
| | Examiner BEN C. WANG | Art Unit 2192 |

All Participants:**Status of Application:** pending(1) Mr. Paul D. Greeley (Reg. No. 31,019). (3) _____.(2) Ben C. Wang. (4) _____.**Date of Interview:** 23 December 2009**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 16, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner proposed amendments to claim 1, 5, 16, and 17 to overcome potential rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph by more clearly and positively reciting structural elements of a statutory machine.

Mr. Greeley authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.